•

Application No.: 10/605,961

Reply of October 25, 2006

Response to Office Action of May 25, 2006

Docket No.: 2921-0150PUS1

Page 10 of 12

REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the due consideration given the application. Claims 1-24 are

pending in the present application. The Examiner has prematurely withdrawn claims 16-20 from

consideration. Claims 1, 6, 11 and 20 have been amended to improve their language in a non-

narrowing fashion. No new matter is believed to be added to the application by this Reply.

The Examiner has required election in the present application between:

Group I, claims 1-15, drawn to a cyanine dye and a method of using them; and

Group II, claims 16-20, drawn to a method for monitoring a real time PCR reaction using

a dye.

It is respectfully noted that no Restriction/Election requirement has been made for claims

21-24.

On May 22, 2006, Group I, claims 1-15, was provisionally elected with traverse.

For the purpose of examination of the present application, Applicants affirm the

election, with traverse, of Group I, Claims 1-15.

First, this application is a continuation of PCT/SEO2/00860 (WO 02/090443), in which

unity of invention was found. The Examiner is respectfully directed to PCT Article 27(1), which

sets forth that no national law shall require compliance with requirements relating to the

international application different or additional to those provided for in the PCT and its

regulations.

KM/REG/ktp

. . . .

Application No.: 10/605,961

Reply of October 25, 2006

Response to Office Action of May 25, 2006

Page 11 of 12

Docket No.: 2921-0150PUS1

Second, as set forth in Section 803 of the MPEP, the Examiner must examine an

application on the merits if the examination of the entire application can be made without serious

burden. Two criteria are identified for proper requirement for restriction:

1. The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and

2. There must be a serious burden on the Examiner if the restriction is not required.

Applicants respectfully submit that a serious burden has not been placed on the Examiner

to consider all of the claims in a single application. A review of the subject matter set forth in

the claims would have an overlapping search. Thus a different field of search really does not

exist with regard to the claims of the present application.

Accordingly, rejoinder and examination of all the claims on the merits is respectfully

requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1-15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second paragraph as being

indefinite. Applicants traverse.

At page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner asserts that the term "carbohydrate" use in

the claims is inapt. However, the claims have been amended to be set forth in terms that are

clear, definite and have full antecedent basis.

This rejection is overcome and withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

KM/REG/ktp

Application No.: 10/605,961

Reply of October 25, 2006

Response to Office Action of May 25, 2006

Docket No.: 2921-0150PUS1

Page 12 of 12

Conclusion

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action.

Further prosecution of all the claims on the merits is respectfully requested.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Robert E. Goozner, Ph.D.,

Registration No 42,593 at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an

interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for

any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under § 1.17; particularly, extension of

time fees.

Dated: October 25, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Joe McKinney Muncy

Registration No.: 32\334

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLA\$CH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

KM/REG/ktp